

Remarks – General

1. The Rejection of Claims 1-19 Under the Judicially Created Doctrine of Obviousness-Type Double Patenting is Overcome

Claims 1-19 were provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of our copending Application No. 10/668,021 (entitled "Laminated tube for the transport of charged particles contained in a gaseous medium", filed 2003 October 17) in view of US patent 6,107,628 to Smith et al.

A **Terminal Disclaimer** and **Fee** are enclosed with this amendment to overcome this provisional rejection based on obviousness-type double patenting. Applicants request reconsideration of this rejection, as now applicable to currently amended specifications, claims 1-19, and drawings filed 2003 June 26 (Amendment B).

Accordingly, Applicants submits that this application is now in full condition for allowance, which action they respectfully solicit.

Very Respectfully,


Edward W Sheehan



Ross C Willoughby

-----Applicants Pro Se-----

Chem-Space Associates

655 William Pitt Way

Pittsburgh, PA 15238

Telephone: 412-826-3013 or 412-963-6881, Fax: 412-963-6882

E-mails: E.W. Sheehan (ews@lcms.com) and R.C. Willoughby (ross@lcms.com)

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence, and attachments, if any, will be deposited with the United States Postal Service by **Priority Mail**, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to **"Box Non-Fee Amendments, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450"** on the following date: 2004 November 8

Inventor's Signature: 

Edward W Sheehan, Applicant